UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,899	01/14/2004	Randall L. Powers	100.401US01	3088
34206 FOGG & POW	7590 07/11/200 ERS LLC	EXAMINER		
10 SOUTH FIFTH STREET			BOAKYE, ALEXANDER O	
	SUITE 1000 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@fogglaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/756,899	POWERS, RANDALL L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALEXANDER BOAKYE	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>	oril 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
·	<u>-</u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34 and 36-48</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,14-34 and 36-48</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/756,899 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LOO (WO 99/26448) in view of Jason, Jr. (US Patent # 7,355,971).

Regarding claim 9, Loo discloses in Figs. 1-3 a method for automatically adapting to statically varying packet size, the method comprising: receiving a stream of bytes over a physical medium (column 11, lines 1-2). LOO differs from the claimed invention in that LOO does not disclose determining a static packet size from the bytes in the stream of bytes and using the determined packet size to process packets from the received byte stream. However, Jason discloses determining a static packet size from the bytes in the stream of bytes and using the determined packet size to process packets from the received byte stream (column 3, lines 23-37 and lines 1-6 of the abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Jason into the system of LOO in order to be able to reduce packet congestion.

Regarding claim 10, LOO teaches that determining a packet size comprises identifying first and second boundaries of a packet based on bytes in the received stream of bytes (column 11, lines 21-25).

Claim 11 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 8.

Regarding claim 12, Loo teaches that determining a packet size further comprises identifying N additional boundaries separated by the same number of bytes (column 11, lines 21-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-8, 14-19, 20-25, 26-30, 31-34, 36-42, 43-48 are allowable.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34, 36-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,899 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Boakye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3183. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The Fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or PUBLIC PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) numbers at 866-217-9197 and 703-305-3028.

/ALEXANDER BOAKYE/

Examiner, Art Unit 2616 (Temporary Partial Signatory)

7/6/08